



Informationen zum DFB-Pokal

DFB-Pokal

DATE	TIME	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION
			1. 10:00 AM - Arrived at the office. 2. 10:15 AM - Meeting with the team to discuss the project. 3. 11:30 AM - Lunch break. 4. 1:00 PM - Continued work on the project. 5. 2:30 PM - Meeting with the client to discuss the progress. 6. 3:45 PM - Finished the report. 7. 4:30 PM - Left the office.

Year	Month	Day	Event
1998	10	10	10/10/98
1998	10	11	10/11/98
1998	10	12	10/12/98
1998	10	13	10/13/98
1998	10	14	10/14/98
1998	10	15	10/15/98
1998	10	16	10/16/98
1998	10	17	10/17/98
1998	10	18	10/18/98
1998	10	19	10/19/98
1998	10	20	10/20/98
1998	10	21	10/21/98
1998	10	22	10/22/98
1998	10	23	10/23/98
1998	10	24	10/24/98
1998	10	25	10/25/98
1998	10	26	10/26/98
1998	10	27	10/27/98
1998	10	28	10/28/98
1998	10	29	10/29/98
1998	10	30	10/30/98
1998	10	31	10/31/98

Year	Rank	Score	Notes
2000	1st	95.0	First place in the state championship
2001	2nd	92.5	Second place in the state championship
2002	3rd	90.0	Third place in the state championship
2003	4th	87.5	Fourth place in the state championship
2004	5th	85.0	Fifth place in the state championship
2005	6th	82.5	Sixth place in the state championship
2006	7th	80.0	Seventh place in the state championship
2007	8th	77.5	Eighth place in the state championship
2008	9th	75.0	Ninth place in the state championship
2009	10th	72.5	Tenth place in the state championship
2010	11th	70.0	Eleventh place in the state championship
2011	12th	67.5	Twelfth place in the state championship
2012	13th	65.0	Thirteenth place in the state championship
2013	14th	62.5	Fourteenth place in the state championship
2014	15th	60.0	Fifteenth place in the state championship
2015	16th	57.5	Sixteenth place in the state championship
2016	17th	55.0	Seventeenth place in the state championship
2017	18th	52.5	Eighteenth place in the state championship
2018	19th	50.0	Nineteenth place in the state championship
2019	20th	47.5	Twentieth place in the state championship
2020	21st	45.0	Twenty-first place in the state championship
2021	22nd	42.5	Twenty-second place in the state championship
2022	23rd	40.0	Twenty-third place in the state championship
2023	24th	37.5	Twenty-fourth place in the state championship
2024	25th	35.0	Twenty-fifth place in the state championship

1	2	3	4
			1. NAME
			2. ADDRESS
			3. CITY
			4. STATE
			5. ZIP
			6. PHONE
			7. BIRTHDATE
			8. SEX
			9. RELIGION
			10. EDUCATION
			11. OCCUPATION
			12. INTERESTS
			13. HOBBIES
			14. SPORTS
			15. TRAVEL
			16. DIET
			17. SMOKING
			18. DRINKING
			19. RELIGIOUS
			20. POLITICAL
			21. SOCIAL
			22. PERSONALITY
			23. CHARACTERISTICS
			24. LIKES
			25. DISLIKES
			26. FAVORITE
			27. DISFAVORITE
			28. HOPE
			29. FEARS
			30. WISHES
			31. GOALS
			32. IDEALS
			33. VALUES
			34. PRINCIPLES
			35. ETHICS
			36. MORALS
			37. CONVICTIONS
			38. OPINIONS
			39. ATTITUDES
			40. CHARACTER
			41. TEMPERAMENT
			42. MOODS
			43. EMOTIONS
			44. FEELINGS
			45. THOUGHTS
			46. IMAGINATION
			47. CREATIVITY
			48. INTELLIGENCE
			49. WISDOM
			50. KNOWLEDGE
			51. SKILLS
			52. TALENTS
			53. ABILITIES
			54. POWERS
			55. STRENGTHS
			56. WEAKNESSES
			57. QUALITIES
			58. FAULTS
			59. CHARACTERISTICS
			60. PERSONALITY
			61. CHARACTER
			62. TEMPERAMENT
			63. MOODS
			64. EMOTIONS
			65. FEELINGS
			66. THOUGHTS
			67. IMAGINATION
			68. CREATIVITY
			69. INTELLIGENCE
			70. WISDOM
			71. KNOWLEDGE
			72. SKILLS
			73. TALENTS
			74. ABILITIES
			75. POWERS
			76. STRENGTHS
			77. WEAKNESSES
			78. QUALITIES
			79. FAULTS
			80. CHARACTERISTICS
			81. PERSONALITY
			82. CHARACTER
			83. TEMPERAMENT
			84. MOODS
			85. EMOTIONS
			86. FEELINGS
			87. THOUGHTS
			88. IMAGINATION
			89. CREATIVITY
			90. INTELLIGENCE
			91. WISDOM
			92. KNOWLEDGE
			93. SKILLS
			94. TALENTS
			95. ABILITIES
			96. POWERS
			97. STRENGTHS
			98. WEAKNESSES
			99. QUALITIES
			100. FAULTS

1	2	3	4
			1. PROBLEM STATEMENT The problem is to design a system that can handle a large volume of data and provide a user interface for data analysis.
		2	2. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE The system architecture is based on a client-server model. The client is responsible for user interaction and data input. The server is responsible for data storage, processing, and output.
		3	3. IMPLEMENTATION The implementation is based on the following components: - Client: A web browser (e.g., Chrome, Firefox). - Server: A web server (e.g., Apache, Nginx). - Database: A relational database (e.g., MySQL, PostgreSQL). - Application Logic: A set of scripts (e.g., PHP, Python) that handle data processing and user requests.
		4	4. CONCLUSION The system is designed to handle a large volume of data and provide a user interface for data analysis. The system architecture is based on a client-server model. The implementation is based on the following components:
		5	5. REFERENCES [1] [Reference 1] [2] [Reference 2] [3] [Reference 3]

Year	Age	Sex	Occupation	Marital Status	Religion	Education	Income	Health	Family Size	Home Ownership	Auto Ownership	Life Insurance	Disability Insurance	Retirement Savings	Charitable Contributions	Political Affiliation	Volunteer Work	Travel Frequency	Pet Ownership	Substance Use	Other Interests
1990	25	Male	Software Engineer	Single	Protestant	High School	\$45,000	Good	2	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
1995	30	Female	Marketing Executive	Married	Catholic	College	\$60,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2000	35	Male	Software Engineer	Married	Protestant	College	\$75,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2005	40	Female	Marketing Executive	Married	Catholic	College	\$90,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2010	45	Male	Software Engineer	Married	Protestant	College	\$105,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2015	50	Female	Marketing Executive	Married	Catholic	College	\$120,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2020	55	Male	Software Engineer	Married	Protestant	College	\$135,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2025	60	Female	Marketing Executive	Married	Catholic	College	\$150,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2030	65	Male	Software Engineer	Married	Protestant	College	\$165,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2035	70	Female	Marketing Executive	Married	Catholic	College	\$180,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2040	75	Male	Software Engineer	Married	Protestant	College	\$195,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2045	80	Female	Marketing Executive	Married	Catholic	College	\$210,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2050	85	Male	Software Engineer	Married	Protestant	College	\$225,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2055	90	Female	Marketing Executive	Married	Catholic	College	\$240,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2060	95	Male	Software Engineer	Married	Protestant	College	\$255,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening
2065	100	Female	Marketing Executive	Married	Catholic	College	\$270,000	Good	3	Owns	Owns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Democrat	Yes	Monthly	Yes	Occasional	Reading, Gardening